

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,123	06/27/2003	Tushar Patel	101896-178 (DEP5100)	6697
21125 7590 07/19/2007 NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			EXAMINER .	
			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
155 SEAPORT BOSTON, MA	F BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	2210-200 4		3733	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/609,123	PATEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		David Comstock	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ma</u>	<u>ay 2</u> 007.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	•			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-4,7-21 and 23-26 is/are pending in the same claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-17 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18-21 and 23-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,123

Art Unit: 3733

1 mg / k

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-21 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oxland et al. (5,676,666; cited by applicant).

Oxland et al. disclose an elongate member 62 comprising planar retracting surfaces 72, 74, and removable guide members 80, 82 having lumens therewithin (see Fig. 1). The planar surfaces have a width that is greater than that of each guide. The lumens extend at an angle to one another. The device includes extension cleats 76 on a distal portion of the device, and the distal portion is disposed at an angle to the proximal portion. The device attaches to a plate 10 having superior 36 and inferior 38 portions with holes 34 (see Figs. 2-8). When together, in a closed position, either blade can be considered to have a guide member with two barrels. It is noted that the claim recites at least one guide member and does not explicitly require two guide members. The hinge of the device constitutes a cross member and is capable of being used to connect two guide members (e.g., it can act as a support member for a connector, which also is not explicitly recited). Oxland discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing the angular range of about 110 to about 160, as between the proximal and distal portions of the device. It would have been obvious to one having

Page 3

Art Unit: 3733

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device within a range of 110 and 160 degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. It also would have been obvious to make portions of the plate slidably movable, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability involves only routine skill in the art, and it would be desirable to properly position and fix the plate. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive with regard to the previous rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-17 over Oxland in view of Kurland.

However the remaining arguments are not persuasive.

The rejection sets forth the reasoning for why the rejection is proper.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 and 7-17 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/609,123

Art Unit: 3733

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUANDO O HOBERT